University of Washington Astronomy

Survey Science Group

Astronomy In The Cloud: Using MapReduce For Image Coaddition

investigation.

25

20

Single

15 16 17 18

19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Magnitude

K.Wiley¹, A. Connolly¹, J. Gardner², S. Krughoff¹, M. Balazinska³, B. Howe³, Y. Kwon³, Y. Bu³ 2. University of Washington, Department of Physics I. University of Washington, Department of Astronomy 3. University of Washington, Department of Computer Science Our research focuses on distributed image coaddition, wherein **MapReduce** Introduction multiple partially overlapping images are background-Files stored on DFS* (red nodes I. Mappers process input subtracted, registered (aligned), PSF-matched, and finally stacked In the coming decade, astronomical surveys of the sky will generate tens of terabytes of Distributed (averaged) and mosaiced into a final conglomerative image. images and detect hundreds of millions of sources every night. The study of these sources contain data relevant to our job) File System data on their own nodes will involve computational challenges such as anomaly detection, classification, and moving object tracking. Since such studies require the highest quality data, methods such as image coaddition, *i.e.*, registration, stacking, and mosaicing, will be critical to scientific C With a requirement that these images be analyzed on a nightly basis to identify moving sources (asteroids) or transient objects (supernovae), these datastreams present many computational challenges. Given the quantity of data involved, the computational load of these problems can only be addressed by distributing the workload over a large number 2. Mapper outputs are shuffled 3. Reducers further of nodes. However, the high data throughput demanded by these applications may present to reducer nodes (green) process the mapper outputs scalability challenges for certain storage architectures. One scalable data-processing method that has emerged in recent years is MapReduce and its popular open-source implementation called Hadoop. In the Hadoop framework, the Ð data is partitioned among storage attached directly to worker nodes, and the processing The Cloud workload is scheduled in parallel on the nodes that contain the required input data. A Computing clouds offer further motivation for using Hadoop is that it allows us to exploit cloud computing massive clusters as an onresources, i.e., platforms where Hadoop is offered as a service. demand service. Users create their own programs We wish to use a large in-house C++ image-processing library, but Hadoop is programmed in 5 and then submit and run These images show a single input image and an example of a coadd 8 Java. INI* coordinates the Java to C++ communication. The Java Mappers do very little work, them remotely. This generated using our system. The input dataset is the Sloan Digital Sky handing most functionality to C++. However, the Reducer performs its operations in Java as arrangement empowers Survey, Stripe 82. We observe many additional faint sources in the coadd. the C++ library is not required at that stage. users to use the cloud in Indut data SDSS 2570-r6-199 Coadd of 96 images* their own way while simultaneously mitigating Hadoop C++ Image Input data geographic inconveniences. Mapper & Reducer[†] JNI Processing (science Programs (Java) Library images) **Image Coaddition in Hadoop** Processed data HDFS Mapper & lava Native Interface. Reducer In some versions of our system. Input Mapper only the Mapper uses |N| and C++. output data science image Hadoop Distributed File System. Backgroundsubtract. Processed Hadoop operates on the entire input dataset, but image coaddition Project/interpolate intersection 6 only requires a tiny subset of the total images, only those which Coverage is not necessarily to query's overlap the query bounds. We must therefore trim the input dataset. 96 at any given pixel coordinate system. (1) Retrieve filenames PSF-match. Given a coadd of 96 images, the theoretical improved SQL Reducer of images that apply limiting magnitude = $-2.5\log(\sqrt{96}) \approx -2.5$ mags. Database Final coadd to the coadd (Science image Weight, stack, Point Source Magnitude Detection HDFS metadata) and mosaic the Mapper This histogram of point intersections. source detections Driver Coadded demonstrates that we MapReduce have achieved an Mapper (2) Load images improvement of ~ 2 (Hadoop mags, slightly less than Coaddition We first use SQL to into MapReduce the theoretical $\sim 2.5 \text{ mag}$ Program) find the relevant HDFS improvement, which is Mapper image filenames in a expected considering the meta-database, then footnote in 8. pass only those Parallel Parallel

by query

by image

images to Hadoop.